

Carol Lumb - GWAVA message restriction digest

From: "GWAVA"
To:
Date: 08/29/2008 9:00 AM
Subject: GWAVA message restriction digest



E-Mail Restriction Report

Reporting 11 messages blocked between
Thu 04:00 pm on 28 Aug and Fri 09:00 am on 29 Aug

The e-mail listed below was blocked by GWAVA and may be unsolicited (SPAM).
To retrieve a message, click the Release button and the message will be sent to you.

Current Quarantine

[Manage My quarantine](#)

Block Reason	Subject	Sender	Date	
spam5	Propel Weekly Price Update - August 28, 2008	bounces-2f6ba2bb16-dd587cf771@b.cts.vresp.com	Aug 28 2008 04:52 pm	
spam5	City of Tukwila Shoreline Master Program [Scanned]	karen.walter@muckleshoot.nsn.us	Aug 28 2008 05:01 pm	
rbl spam5	Super news	lindsay@upskill.co.nz	Aug 28 2008 06:33 pm	
rbl	New Dating for you :193	dmenashe@orclinic.com	Aug 28 2008 07:17 pm	
rbl spam5	Statement of fees 2008/09	cappelpmwwm@bmtassoc.com.au	Aug 28 2008 08:30 pm	
rbl	AIRMAIL EXPRESS \$_ 1159346608	sxrwfp@bomberland.com	Aug 28 2008 10:58 pm	
rbl spam5	FW: New phd for you	dictumsri348@fordsonexchange.com	Aug 28 2008 11:16 pm	
rbl spam5	Start a new life in your bedroom	bronson91@counseling-online.com	Aug 29 2008 04:19 am	
spam5	Super news	marketingn@eco.co.uk	Aug 29 2008 06:58 am	
rbl spam5	Fw: Get our present for your well-being!	murgatroyd@research.nokia.com	Aug 29 2008 07:33 am	

EXHIBIT 23 DATE 8/28/08
PROJECT NAME Smp Update
FILE NO 206-088

Carol Lumb - City of Tukwila Shoreline Master Program [Scanned]

From: "Karen Walter"
To: "Carol Lumb"
Date: 08/28/2008 9:45 PM
Subject: City of Tukwila Shoreline Master Program [Scanned]
Attachments:

Carol,

The Muckleshoot Indian Tribe Fisheries Division has reviewed the Draft Shoreline Master Program and associated documents for the City of Tukwila's SMP update. As we discussed, we need additional time to fully review and vet the materials; however, we wanted to give the City some initial comments for consideration and review.

1. The Green/Duwamish River is an important river for the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe to exercise its treaty rights for fisheries resources. The Draft Shoreline Master Program (SMP) acknowledges this briefly and references back to the Shoreline Inventory. Please note that there is no reference to Muckleshoot Fishing in the Shoreline Inventory except for a couple of pictures that show fishing without attributing them to the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe. Please note that it is essential that the City of Tukwila coordinate closely with the Tribe when river (and stream) restoration work or in-water structures and facilities are implemented as part of the SMP to ensure that Tribal fisheries issues are addressed. It might be useful to add a policy to the SMP that requires this coordination.
2. We appreciate the City's efforts to identify a list of restoration projects for the Green/Duwamish River that if implemented should improve conditions for fish resources over current conditions.
3. The SMP, the Inventory and the Restoration plan should consider the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) that is underway for the Green River for temperature and dissolved oxygen and includes areas with the City. This "pollution control plan" has implications to the shoreline areas of Tukwila as temperature and dissolved oxygen is influenced by riparian areas and stormwater (amongst other factors). The current draft documents lack any discussion about the TMDL and compliance with the Clean Water Act. See the attached table for the listing of the Green River in Tukwila on the State's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) list.
4. We need additional information about the "Walk and Roll plan" and the "Riverwalk Plan" before we can make comments on the policies that reference these plans. For example, it is not clear if the Riverwalk Plan affects the entire shoreline within the City or not and if this plan will negate the opportunity to do levee setbacks and revegetation.
5. We appreciate the City's recognition that levee setbacks are critical to restoring river and riparian function within its shoreline areas. We agree and encourage the City to pursue levee setbacks in all areas shown on Map 4 of the SMP (Shoreline Armoring). It is not yet apparent how and when these levee setbacks will occur as Policy 3 on page 19 of the SMP is vague because the incentive plan is not well defined. The City should actively pursue levee setbacks through SMP policies, regulations, and funding as the incentive plan may have limited success. Also it seems that a coordinated effort with other jurisdictions along the Green River may be needed as well for a comprehensive levee setback program, which was not discussed in the Restoration Plan. Finally, the City should consider using its stormwater fees as a funding source for levee setbacks since increase conveyance is one outcome of a levee setback and provides stormwater benefits as a result.
6. The City should consider creating an "aquatic" environment. If the existing designations are used, then all areas of the Green and Duwamish River may end up with non-water dependent structures and activities within or below the Ordinary High Water Mark because these activities are not restricted in the SMP. For example, it may be difficult to limit bridge abutments or utility bases/pipes from the Ordinary High Water Mark unless specifically restricted elsewhere in City code. These non-water dependent uses could negate some of the restoration actions the City is seeking to implement.
7. The City should designate the Green and Duwamish rivers within the City jurisdiction as Fish and Wildlife Conservation areas. Map 5 only shows a few small areas as Fish and Wildlife Conservation areas. The entire Green and Duwamish River within the City's jurisdiction is essential for salmonids to fulfill their life cycle and production.
8. It is not clear why private vehicle bridges are an outright use in all shoreline designations as currently proposed. These bridges should have to demonstrate their necessity and no other alternative and should be required to fully mitigate for the impacts including avoiding placement abutments or structures into the river.

9. There should also be lighting standards for docks, marinas, bridges, etc that seek to avoid any direct lighting of the waterway. This will minimize impacts to salmonids as a result of predation. (see page 63, section D of the SMP).
10. The shoreline stabilization section of the SMP, if implemented fully, should result in improvements to fish habitat.
11. The tree/vegetation standards are a good start to protecting and restoring riparian functions. We strongly recommend that tree removal be limited as much as possible and that if trees are removed, they are placed back into the Green-Duwamish River to create fish habitat. Trees should also be made available for restoration projects if they can not be reused on site with a goal of no-net loss of wood recruitment from riparian area along the Green-Duwamish River. The River needs wood for fish habitat as noted in the Shoreline Inventory.
12. The City should consider requiring applicants to get the applicable Aquatic NPDES permit from Ecology if they are going to be using pesticides in and along the Green-Duwamish River.
13. We appreciate the City's policies regarding low impact development techniques.

Thank you again for the opportunity to provide initial comments on this proposal. Please call me at 253-876-3116 should you wish to discuss these further.

Karen Walter
Watersheds and Land Use Team Leader
Muckleshoot Indian Tribe Fisheries Division
39015 172nd Ave SE
Auburn WA 98092

WRFA Listing ID Category 98 List? Waterbody Name
Basis

Location information Parameter Remarks Medium

9	10812	5	N	GREEN RIVER	Yellow (2013) Data of Ecology Institute Monitoring Station 040404 (GREEN RIVER) shows 5 excursions beyond the station but 3 of samples collected between 1983-2001 measured within limits (040404: 0407/19 0407/24)	YD05HE 1024 23W 04E 24	Dissolved oxygen	Water
					King County unpublished data from station 0404 (Green RM 41.5) show excursions beyond the dissolved oxygen criterion in 1988, 1989, 1999, 2000 and 2002.			
					King County unpublished data from station 0404 (Green RM 41.5) show excursions beyond the dissolved oxygen criterion in 1988, 1989, 1999, 2000 and 2002.			

9 12708 5 N GREEN RIVER
King County unpublished data from station B319 (Green RM 41.5) show excursions beyond the dissolved oxygen criterion in 1988, 1989, and 2000.

YD05HE 48.857 21N 06E 28
Dissolved oxygen
Water

WREA Listing ID Category 96 List?

Waterbody Name
Basis

Location Information

Parameter

Remarks

Medium

9 16703 5 Y GREEN RIVER

Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 09A060 (Green R. at 212th St. near Kent) shows a geometric mean of 80 does not exceed the criterion and that 33% of the samples exceeds the percentile criterion from 3 samples collected during 1993.; Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 09A060 (Green R. at 212th St. near Kent) shows a geometric mean of 137 exceeds the criterion and that 33% of the samples exceeds the percentile criterion from 9 samples collected during 1994.

9 6574 5 N GREEN RIVER

Dept. of Ecology unpublished data from core ambient monitoring station 09A190 (AT BRIDGE ON CUMBERLAND-PALMER RD. AT K) shows a 7-day mean of daily maximum values of 18.6 for mid-week 10 August 2001.; Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 09A190 (GREEN RIVER AT KANASKAT) shows 0 excursions beyond the criterion out of 63 samples collected between 1993 - 2001

Rafarth, et al. 2002, show no excursions beyond the criterion out of 63 samples collected in 2000 and 2001.

9 7037 5 Y GREEN RIVER

King County unpublished data from station 3105 (Green River at 720th St.) show temperature excursions exceeded all year between 1998 and 2002.

King County unpublished data from station 5319 (Green River at 41st St.) show temperature excursions exceeded all year between 1998 and 2002.

9 7043 5 N GREEN RIVER

King County unpublished data from station B319 (Green RM 41.5) show temperature criterion was exceeded in all years between 1998 and 2002.

9 7478 5 Y GREEN RIVER

Caldwell, 1994, multiple excursions beyond the criterion at RM 20 in 1992.

9 7479 5 Y GREEN RIVER

Caldwell, 1994, multiple excursions beyond the criterion at RM 27 in 1992.

9 7480 5 Y GREEN RIVER

Caldwell, 1994, multiple excursions beyond the criterion at RM 35 in 1992.

Wednesday, November 2, 2005

Page 102 of 407